Challenges and strategies for universities students'ideological and political education in the digital economy era

Da Gao*， Jiajie Cai

School of Law and Business, Wuhan Institute of Technology, Wuhan, 430205, China.
**Corresponding author: gaoda@wit.edu.cn*

Abstract: *The advent of the digital economy era has brought profound transformations to societal development, with digital technologies such as big data, artificial intelligence, and blockchain accelerating the restructuring of the educational ecosystem. Against the backdrop of digital economic development, this paper systematically analyzes the necessity and feasibility of transforming ideological and political education in higher education institutions. It focuses on five major challenges faced by ideological and political education in a digital environment: the impact of information fragmentation, the clash of diverse online cultures, the weakening of responsibility due to virtual social interactions, the hindrance of critical thinking caused by technological dependence, and the uneven digital literacy among educators. Based on these insights, the study proposes transformation strategies across four dimensions: constructing a digitized ideological and political education ecosystem, optimizing educational content and methods, enhancing educators' digital literacy, and improving evaluation systems. By establishing a conceptual framework and practical pathways for "digital ideological education," this research effectively strengthens the relevance, precision, and effectiveness of ideological and political education in the digital age, providing robust value support and ideological assurance for cultivating versatile talents suited to the digital economy era.*

Keywords: *Digital Economy; Ideological and Political Education in Higher Education; Educational Transformation; Digital Literacy; Value Guidance*

Introduction

The rise of the digital economy era has profoundly impacted societal development, with digital technologies such as big data, artificial intelligence, and blockchain rapidly driving the transformation and reconstruction of the educational ecosystem[1]. As a critical domain for fostering students' sound values, ideological and political education in higher education institutions faces unprecedented opportunities and challenges[2]. On one hand, digital technology expands the spatial and temporal boundaries of ideological and political education, and enriches teaching resources and communication channels, enabling educators to break through the limitations of traditional classrooms and construct a comprehensive, multi-dimensional educational system[3]. The interactive and instantaneous characteristics of digital platforms provide more flexible and diverse forms of expression for ideological and political education, enhancing the appeal and influence of educational content[4]. Moreover, the application of intelligent algorithms can achieve personalized delivery and precise teaching to meet the developmental needs of different students[5].

On the other hand, features of the digital era such as information fragmentation, value pluralization, and virtual socialization pose serious challenges to the effectiveness of traditional ideological and political education. Ideological struggles in cyberspace are becoming increasingly complex, with harmful information and erroneous viewpoints spreading rapidly and widely, while the interaction of diverse ideological cultures impacts the recognition and adherence to mainstream values[6]. The anonymity and distortion in virtual social environments cause some students to develop cognitive disconnection and behavioral division between reality and virtual worlds, increasing the difficulty of achieving effective ideological and political education[7]. Furthermore, contemporary college students as "digital natives" exhibit new characteristics in their cognitive approaches, value orientations, and behavioral patterns, which to a certain extent compels ideological and political education to adapt to the development of the times and comprehensively innovate its concepts, content, methods, and evaluation mechanisms[8].

Grounded in the context of digital economic development, this study systematically examines the necessity and feasibility of transforming ideological and political education in higher education. It focuses on the practical dilemmas faced by this field in a digital environment and explores the development of a new educational model that aligns with both the laws of digital economic development and ideological education. The research aims to integrate ideological education deeply with digital technologies, cultivating students' steadfast political beliefs and noble moral sentiments while enhancing their digital literacy and critical thinking skills. Ultimately, it seeks to prepare versatile talents capable of adapting to and leading the development of the digital economy.

1. Research Purpose and Significance

1.1 Research Purpose

This study aims to systematically investigate the transformation pathways for ideological and political education in higher education institutions within the context of the digital economy era. The primary research objectives are threefold: First, to identify and analyze the specific challenges that digital technologies and environments pose to traditional ideological and political education models; second, to explore innovative strategies and frameworks for integrating digital technologies with ideological education principles; and third, to construct a theoretical foundation for "digital ideological education" that enhances the quality and effectiveness of value cultivation among college students. Through examining the dynamic interplay between technological advancement and ideological education, this research seeks to bridge theoretical understanding with practical application, providing actionable insights for educational reform.

1.2 Theoretical Significance

From a theoretical perspective, this research contributes to the expansion and enrichment of ideological and political education theory in the digital age. By investigating the impact of digital technologies on students' value formation processes, cognitive patterns, and behavioral tendencies, the study deepens our understanding of the underlying mechanisms through which digital environments influence ideological development. The research advances the theoretical framework of ideological education by incorporating digital dimensions, thereby updating traditional theories to account for contemporary technological realities. Furthermore, by examining the dialectical relationship between technological empowerment and value guidance, this study contributes to broader academic discussions on the interplay between technology and human development, education and social progress, and innovation and tradition in the digital economy era.

1.3 Practical Significance

The practical significance of this research lies in its contribution to improving the quality and effectiveness of ideological and political education in higher education institutions. By proposing concrete strategies across multiple dimensions—ecosystem construction, content optimization, educator development, and evaluation refinement—the study provides actionable guidance for educational practitioners navigating digital transformation. The research addresses urgent practical challenges such as bridging generational gaps in digital literacy, countering information fragmentation, and fostering critical thinking in technology-dependent environments. Additionally, the findings offer valuable reference for policymakers and educational administrators in resource allocation, curriculum design, and faculty development related to ideological education. By aligning educational approaches with the characteristics of the digital economy, this research ultimately supports the cultivation of versatile talents who possess both firm ideological convictions and advanced digital competencies.

2. Challenges Faced by Ideological and Political Education in the Digital Economy Era

2.1 The Impact of Information Fragmentation on Students' Value Formation

In the digital economy era, information dissemination is characterized by fragmentation, rapidity, and vast volume, posing significant challenges to the systematic construction of college students' values. Fragmented information undermines the coherence and systematicity of knowledge[9]. Students often acquire disjointed snippets of information through short videos, social media, and similar channels,

making it difficult for them to develop a deep understanding or comprehensive grasp of ideological theories. Meanwhile, the rapidly updating flow of information constantly diverts students' attention, leaving little room or time for in-depth reflection[10]. As a result, their value judgments tend to rely on intuition rather than rational analysis. More critically, in an environment of overwhelming information where truth and falsehood intermingle, students often lack the ability to effectively filter and discern content, rendering them susceptible to being misled by erroneous values. This information landscape contributes to instability and uncertainty in the value formation process of contemporary college students, presenting a profound challenge to the traditional ideological and political education model, which emphasizes systematic and in-depth teaching.

2.2 The Impact of Diverse Online Cultures on Traditional Ideological and Political Education

The digital cyberspace has become a convergence point for various cultures and ideologies, significantly complicating the external environment of ideological and political education. Within this space, Western values permeate and challenge mainstream ideologies through digital media, while diverse cultural phenomena such as online subcultures and postmodernist trends continuously emerge, questioning the authority of mainstream values[11]. Against this backdrop, the discourse system and expression methods of traditional ideological education appear outdated, creating a noticeable generational gap with the expressive styles of online culture and struggling to effectively capture students' attention. Alarmingly, algorithmic recommendation mechanisms reinforce students' cognitive preferences, forming "information cocoons" and "echo chambers," which hinder the reach of ideological education content to its intended audience, thereby reducing its effectiveness and relevance. This multicultural digital environment poses unprecedented challenges to the value-guidance function of ideological education.

2.3 The Erosion of Real-World Social Responsibility Due to Virtual Socialization

With the advancement of digital technology, virtual social platforms have become increasingly diverse, and college students are spending more time immersed in these environments, profoundly affecting the development of their sense of social responsibility[12]. In virtual social settings, behavioral constraints are relatively lax, and the anonymity and distance inherent in these platforms lead some students to exhibit moral lapses and a lack of accountability[13]. Additionally, virtual platforms foster a "spectator" mentality, where students are more inclined to express concern online rather than engage in tangible social practices, giving rise to phenomena such as "like-based participation" and "keyboard activism." Of particular concern is the multiplicity of virtual identities, which can confuse some students' self-perception and blur their awareness of social roles, ultimately impairing their ability to assume real-world responsibilities. Together, these factors create a significant disconnect between students' sense of social responsibility and their actual behavior, posing a serious test to the practice-oriented nature of ideological education.

2.4 The Challenge of Technological Dependence on Cultivating Critical Thinking

The widespread adoption of digital technology has fostered a strong reliance among students, presenting multiple challenges to the cultivation of critical thinking skills[14]. Today, tools such as search engines and intelligent recommendation systems drastically reduce the need for students to engage in proactive thinking and exploration, leading to the prevalence of "fast-food" learning habits and cognitive biases such as "search equals truth." A notable concern is that algorithmic recommendations tend to deliver information aligned with users' existing beliefs and perspectives, subtly reinforcing cognitive biases and limiting the development of diverse viewpoints. In an environment where artificial intelligence is rapidly advancing, students' over-reliance on technological solutions is becoming more pronounced, gradually weakening their independent judgment and innovative thinking abilities. In response, ideological education urgently needs to explore effective strategies to foster independent thinking, dialectical reasoning, and enhanced value judgment with the support of technology.

2.5 The Uneven Digital Literacy Among Educators

The digital economy era places higher demands on the digital literacy of ideological education instructors, yet the current teaching workforce exhibits significant disparities in this regard. Many educators lack sufficient proficiency in applying digital technologies, making it difficult for them to effectively innovate teaching practices with digital tools[15]. This creates a notable gap between teaching

methods and students' learning habits. Furthermore, some educators have an inadequate understanding of digital culture, hindering their ability to accurately grasp students' psychological traits and behavioral patterns in digital environments, which in turn affects the relevance and effectiveness of their instruction. A broader issue is the general lack of interdisciplinary collaboration in education; the integration of ideological education with fields like information technology and media communication remains limited, and there is no systematic training framework for enhancing teachers' digital literacy[16]. This situation constitutes a "teacher bottleneck" in the digital transformation of ideological education, significantly constraining the overall effectiveness and depth of innovation in this field in the digital era.

3. Strategies for Transforming Ideological and Political Education

3.1 Building a Digital Ideological and Political Education Ecosystem

Constructing a digital ideological and political education ecosystem is the foundation for achieving a comprehensive transformation of this field. This ecosystem should integrate online and offline educational resources, transcending the spatial and temporal limitations of traditional education to create a holistic talent cultivation framework. On the technical level, a unified digital ideological education platform must be established, consolidating course resources, learning data, and teaching tools to provide full-process digital support for ideological education. At the content level, a multi-tiered, comprehensive digital resource library should be developed, encompassing theoretical explanations, current affairs analyses, classic case studies, and interactive materials to meet the diverse learning needs of students. At the institutional level, a collaborative mechanism linking on-campus and off-campus ideological education efforts should be created, integrating social practice, online culture, and campus life to form a cohesive, all-encompassing educational force.

The development of smart campuses provides critical support for digitized ideological education. By leveraging big data analysis of learning behaviors, educators can gain insights into students' ideological trends and learning characteristics, enabling precise and targeted education. Additionally, innovation in blended learning models that combine online and offline approaches should be prioritized, merging the depth of traditional classroom interactions with the convenience and interactivity of digital technology. Furthermore, exploring data-sharing mechanisms for ideological education can facilitate resource exchange and experience sharing among universities, fostering an open, collaborative, and innovative digital ideological education ecosystem.

3.2 Optimizing Content and Methods of Ideological Education

In the digital economy era, optimizing the content and methods of ideological education should focus on enhancing timeliness and appeal. In terms of content design, the basic principles of Marxism should be integrated with emerging phenomena and issues in the digital economy to increase the practical relevance of ideological education. Abstract theories should be made concrete and relatable to daily life, with the development of teaching cases closely tied to students' digital experiences—such as online ethics, data security, and AI ethics—making the content more aligned with their realities. Simultaneously, emphasis should be placed on the systematicity and coherence of content to counter the trend of information fragmentation, helping students construct a complete theoretical framework and value system.

In terms of teaching methods, digital storytelling techniques can be adopted, utilizing short videos, animations, and interactive charts to enhance the expressiveness and emotional impact of ideological content. The application of virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) technologies can create immersive learning experiences, making historical events and theoretical concepts more tangible and intuitive. Leveraging social media for interactive teaching can foster learning communities co-created by teachers and students, encouraging active participation through discussions and creative dissemination. Gamification strategies can also be explored, embedding ideological elements into digital game designs with task-based challenges and role-playing to boost engagement and achieve education through entertainment. Moreover, efforts should focus on cultivating students' digital literacy alongside critical thinking, guiding them to maintain independent reasoning and value judgment in digital environments.

3.3 Enhancing Educators' Digital Literacy

Educators are pivotal to the transformation of ideological education, and enhancing their digital literacy is an urgent priority. Universities should establish systematic training programs for ideological education instructors, covering skills such as digital tool usage, data analysis, media production, and interpretation of online culture. Training content should integrate technical proficiency with innovative teaching methods to avoid the pitfall of "technology without pedagogy." Training formats could combine online and offline approaches, blending theory with practice through workshops, case studies, and hands-on exercises to ensure relevance and effectiveness.

Promoting interdisciplinary collaborative teaching models is an effective way to boost educators' digital literacy. Teaching teams comprising ideological educators, IT experts, and media scholars can be formed to optimize resource allocation through complementary strengths. Establishing an "Ideology + X" cross-disciplinary teacher community can facilitate deep collaboration among educators from diverse backgrounds, collectively exploring innovative approaches to ideological education in the digital era.

Additionally, mechanisms for developing and sharing digital resources should be established, encouraging teachers to participate in creating and applying high-quality digital teaching materials, fostering a robust ecosystem of resource co-creation and sharing. A digital literacy evaluation and incentive system for teachers should be implemented, incorporating digital teaching capabilities into performance assessments to stimulate intrinsic motivation. Cultivating a cohort of leading figures and innovative teams in digital ideological education can serve as a model, driving overall improvements in the digital literacy of the teaching workforce.

3.4 Improving the Evaluation System for Ideological Education in the Digital Era

Refining the evaluation system for ideological education in the digital era is a critical step in ensuring educational quality. First, a diversified evaluation framework should be developed, moving beyond the traditional focus on knowledge mastery to include dimensions such as value alignment, cognitive abilities, practical behaviors, and digital literacy. By establishing an integrated "knowledge-ability-value" evaluation standard, students' ideological learning outcomes can be comprehensively assessed. Second, a data-driven evaluation mechanism should be instituted, utilizing learning analytics to collect and analyze students' behavioral data on digital platforms, enabling dynamic monitoring and precise assessment of the learning process.

A balance between formative and summative evaluation should be emphasized, focusing on students' engagement, interaction quality, and ideological growth throughout the learning process, rather than relying solely on exam scores. A multi-stakeholder evaluation approach should be adopted, combining teacher assessments, self-evaluations, peer reviews, and societal feedback to provide a well-rounded perspective. The innovative application of digital evaluation tools—such as e-portfolios, learning behavior analytics, and intelligent assessment systems—can enhance the scientific rigor and convenience of evaluations.

Furthermore, a feedback and application mechanism for evaluation results should be established, ensuring that findings are effectively used to improve teaching practices and provide personalized student guidance, forming a closed loop of evaluation-feedback-improvement. Regular studies on the effectiveness of the evaluation system should be conducted, with adjustments made based on changes in the digital environment and educational goals to ensure its scientific validity and relevance. A robust evaluation system will provide strong support for elevating the quality of ideological education in the digital era.

Conclusion

Through a systematic analysis of the challenges and strategies for transforming ideological and political education in higher education institutions in the digital economy era, this study draws the following conclusions: Ideological education faces multifaceted challenges, including information fragmentation, the impact of diverse online cultures, the erosion of responsibility due to virtual socialization, the hindrance of critical thinking by technological dependence, and the uneven digital literacy of educators. These challenges profoundly affect the effectiveness and relevance of ideological education. Addressing them requires systemic reforms across four dimensions: building a digital ideological education ecosystem, optimizing educational content and methods, enhancing educators'

digital literacy, and improving evaluation systems. The study demonstrates that the deep integration of digital technology with ideological education goes beyond mere tool application; it entails comprehensive innovation in concepts, content, methods, and evaluation. At its core, this integration seeks to harmonize technological empowerment with value guidance. By establishing a conceptual framework and practical pathways for "digital ideological education," this approach effectively enhances the timeliness, precision, and efficacy of ideological education, providing robust value support and ideological assurance for cultivating versatile talents suited to the digital economy era.

References

[1] Voronkova, V., Vasyl'chuk, G., Nikitenko, V., Kaganov, Y., & Metelenko, N. (2023). Transformation of digital education in the era of the fourth industrial revolution and globalization. Baltija Publishing. https://dspace.znu.edu.ua/xmlui/handle/12345/19241

[2] Gao, H.-W. (2023). Innovation and development of ideological and political education in colleges and universities in the network era. International Journal of Electrical Engineering & Education, 60(2_suppl), 489–499. https://doi.org/10.1177/00207209211013470

[3] Selwyn, N., & and Facer, K. (2014). The sociology of education and digital technology: Past, present and future. Oxford Review of Education, 40(4), 482–496. https://doi.org/10.1080/03054985.2014.933005

[4] Yang, L. (2021). Online and Offline Interactive Ideological and Political Education Platform in the Information Age. 2021 2nd Asia-Pacific Conference on Image Processing, Electronics and Computers, 921–924. https://doi.org/10.1145/3452446.3452667

[5] G. M., Dhananjaya., Goudar, R. H., Kulkarni, A. A., Rathod, V. N., & Hukkeri, G. S. (2024). A Digital Recommendation System for Personalized Learning to Enhance Online Education: A Review. IEEE Access, 12, 34019–34041. IEEE Access. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3369901

[6] Lan, N. (2024). Research on the Development Dilemma and Optimization Path of Network Ideological Education in the New Era. Journal of Humanities, Arts and Social Science, 8(8). https://doi.org/10.26855/jhass.2024.08.030

[7] Goel, L., Johnson, N., Junglas, I., & Ives, B. (2013). Predicting users' return to virtual worlds: A social perspective. Information Systems Journal, 23(1), 35–63. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2575.2011.00396.x

[8] Chen, P.-H., Teo, T., & Zhou, M. (2016). Relationships between digital nativity, value orientation, and motivational interference among college students. Learning and Individual Differences, 50, 49–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2016.06.017

[9] Liu, Y., Li ,Hongxiu, Goncalves ,Jorge, Kostakos ,Vassilis, & and Xiao, B. (2016). Fragmentation or cohesion? Visualizing the process and consequences of information system diversity, 1993–2012. European Journal of Information Systems, 25(6), 509–533. https://doi.org/10.1057/ejis.2016.5

[10] Oliveira, G., Grenha Teixeira, J., Torres, A., & Morais, C. (2021). An exploratory study on the emergency remote education experience of higher education students and teachers during the COVID-19 pandemic. British Journal of Educational Technology, 52(4), 1357–1376. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13112

[11] Khosravinik, M. (2017). Right Wing Populism in the West: Social Media Discourse and Echo Chambers. Insight Turkey, 19(3), 53–68. https://www.jstor.org/stable/26300530

[12] Kandaurova, M., & Lee, S. H. (Mark). (2019). The effects of Virtual Reality (VR) on charitable giving: The role of empathy, guilt, responsibility, and social exclusion. Journal of Business Research, 100, 571–580. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.10.027

[13] Sevnarayan, K., & Maphoto, K. B. (2024). Exploring the Dark Side of Online Distance Learning: Cheating Behaviours, Contributing Factors, and Strategies to Enhance the Integrity of Online Assessment. Journal of Academic Ethics, 22(1), 51–70. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10805-023-09501-8

[14] George, D. A. S., Baskar, D. T., & Srikaanth, D. P. B. (2024). The Erosion of Cognitive Skills in the Technological Age: How Reliance on Technology Impacts Critical Thinking, Problem-Solving, and Creativity. Partners Universal Innovative Research Publication, 2(3), Article 3. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11671150

[15] Amhag, L., Hellström ,Lisa, & and Stigmar, M. (2019). Teacher Educators' Use of Digital Tools and Needs for Digital Competence in Higher Education. Journal of Digital Learning in Teacher Education, 35(4), 203–220. https://doi.org/10.1080/21532974.2019.1646169

[16] Clark, S. G., & Wallace, R. L. (2015). Integration and interdisciplinarity: Concepts, frameworks, and education. Policy Sciences, 48(2), 233–255. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11077-015-9210-4