Research on the Integration Mechanism of Digital Literacy Improvement for University Teachers and Educational Technology

Huanhuan Du*

School of Marxism, Tarim University, Alar City, 843300, China
**Corresponding author: zgdu2020@126.com*

Abstract: *In the context of educational informatization, university teachers need to possess digital literacy and educational technology integration abilities that go beyond mere tool operation. This paper constructs a digital literacy framework encompassing operational skills, information processing, data analysis, and technological ethics, emphasizing its systemic integration function in teaching. Based on this, an educational technology integration mechanism driven by cognition is proposed, constructing a closed-loop model of "functional embedding—structural adjustment—feedback optimization" to achieve effective collaboration between teaching content, cognitive objectives, and technological methods. The study further explores how teachers achieve role transformation through cross-dimensional ability integration and cognitive transfer, providing theoretical support and model reference for enhancing university teachers' abilities and constructing integration pathways.*

Keywords: *University teachers; digital literacy; educational technology integration; cognitive transfer; structural model; integration mechanism*

Introduction

With the ongoing digitalization of the educational environment, university teachers are under pressure to reconstruct a composite ability structure. Beyond basic technical operations, teachers need to possess higher-level abilities such as digital resource scheduling, cognitive path design, and learning data analysis, with digital literacy gradually becoming the core support for their professional development. Currently, teachers still face gaps in the systematic nature of their ability structure, dimension coordination, and the depth of technology integration, necessitating the promotion of ability upgrades through theoretical framework reconstruction and mechanism model optimization. Based on this, studying the logical structure of university teachers' digital literacy, clarifying the interactive mechanism between it and educational technology integration, and proposing implementable optimization strategies hold significant theoretical and practical value for strengthening the deep linkage between teaching systems and ability frameworks.

1. Structural Dimensions and Capability Composition of Digital Literacy for University Teachers

1.1 Reconstructing the Connotation of Digital Literacy and Defining Cognitive Boundaries

In the context of the ongoing evolution of information environments and educational paradigms, the concept of digital literacy has evolved from its initial focus on "technical tool usage" to a comprehensive capability structure that encompasses information management, cognitive regulation, media expression, and technological ethics. The digital literacy required by university teachers involves not only proficiency in the use of educational technology tools but also should be viewed as an advanced cognitive ability that supports the optimization of instructional design, promotes knowledge reconstruction, and enhances teaching adaptability. Based on this, the knowledge boundaries and capability attributes of university teachers' digital literacy should be redefined from the perspectives of educational cognitive science, media literacy theory, and technological cognitive transfer, constructing a dynamic literacy framework that integrates both tool-based and constructive dimensions.

The cognitive boundaries of digital literacy also show characteristics of increasing openness and cross-domain integration. University teachers, in the teaching process, need not only to process and organize information based on digital technologies but also to achieve meaningful teaching reconstruction across multimodal resources, while stimulating students' cognitive engagement and knowledge construction in specific teaching contexts. The role of the teacher is shifting from the traditional "knowledge transmitter" to "information organizer," "technology coordinator," and "cognitive guide." This change shifts the core logic of digital literacy from the "operational level" to the "cognitive level." In this framework, the definition of digital literacy no longer focuses on enumerating specific skills but emphasizes the teacher's ability to make decisions, organize, guide, and reflect within a complex technological environment, providing the cognitive foundation and capability support for subsequent educational technology integration[1].

1.2 Analysis of the Capability Dimensions of Digital Literacy for University Teachers

The capability structure of digital literacy shows a clear trend toward multidimensional integration, especially in the context of the professional development of university teachers. The capability system should be divided into modules such as basic operational abilities, strategic information abilities, reflective data abilities, and ethical awareness. Among these, basic operational ability is the prerequisite for effectively embedding technology into teaching, covering areas like platform application, software tool usage, and digital resource management. Strategic information ability focuses on the teacher's skill in selecting, screening, organizing, and transforming teaching resources and information content, which is a key point in achieving the digital reconstruction of teaching content. Reflective data ability primarily manifests in the teacher’s ability to extract and analyze student learning data, teaching interaction records, and feedback information, providing a basis for dynamic adjustment of teaching strategies. Ethical awareness requires teachers to possess sensitivity and judgment in discerning issues like privacy protection, intellectual property, and technological bias in digital environments, guiding students to form responsible behavioral habits and values in the digital ecosystem.

In practical teaching, these capability dimensions are often not isolated but constitute a highly interconnected dynamic system. When implementing digital teaching tasks, teachers must establish an effective bridge between the functional logic of technological tools and the cognitive logic of teaching content, facilitating the transformation of teaching activities from formal innovation to deep cognitive migration. The efficient collaboration of these capability dimensions relies not only on the teacher’s knowledge reconstruction and skill updating but also on a deep understanding of the boundaries of technological applications, students' cognitive characteristics, and disciplinary characteristics. Therefore, the digital literacy capability model for university teachers should possess both generality and disciplinary adaptability, emphasizing transferability and problem-solving ability in real teaching contexts, driving teachers' professional growth from "competent use" to "skilled use" and "expert use."

1.3 Constraints and Development Trends in Enhancing Digital Literacy

University teachers face various internal and external constraints when enhancing their digital literacy, which include challenges in technological adaptation as well as deeper factors such as cognitive structures, disciplinary attributes, and organizational culture. At the individual level, cognitive load, tool anxiety, and behavioral inertia are significant psychological barriers that affect teachers' proactive response to technological changes. Some teachers hold a wait-and-see or even a resistant attitude toward emerging technologies, which stems from the lack of positive feedback in the "perceived ease of use" and "perceived usefulness" components of the technology acceptance model. Moreover, the organizational structure of knowledge in different disciplines imposes differentiated requirements on the integration of digital resources, making generalized digital literacy training insufficient to meet the personalized needs of disciplinary teaching, thereby restricting the comprehensive generation of digital literacy.

From a development trend perspective, the enhancement of university teachers' digital literacy will gradually evolve from a linear accumulation model to a nonlinear, adaptive, and intelligent direction. Teachers will no longer be passive recipients but will become designers of digital resources, optimizers of technological functions, and guides of the learning process. In this trend, digital literacy will be deeply embedded in various aspects of instructional design, classroom organization, learning support, and assessment feedback, forming a "literacy—technology—teaching" coupling mechanism characterized by data-driven and intelligent collaboration. Furthermore, the future development of digital literacy should not only focus on improving technological proficiency but should emphasize technological understanding, cross-media transfer ability, and flexible coordination in educational contexts, thus promoting the continuous capability leap and role transformation of university teachers in an increasingly complex technological educational environment[2].

2. Mechanism Logic and Operational Model of Educational Technology Integration

2.1 The Logical Starting Point and Path Construction of Educational Technology Integration

The process of integrating educational technology is not simply the addition of tools or the replacement of traditional methods, but a profound reorganization of the educational system. Its logical starting point stems from the structural tension and collaborative needs between teaching objectives, cognitive processes, and media tools. In the context of university teaching, educational technology, as an intermediary variable, must intervene in the processes of knowledge dissemination, concept construction, and thinking generation without disrupting the inherent structure of teaching. The starting point of the integration mechanism should be based on cognitive drive rather than technology drive. That is, the significance of technology applications arises from their ability to support the achievement of teaching objectives, enhance the understandability of knowledge, and deepen cognitive learning. This logical premise dictates that technological integration should begin with a structured understanding of the teaching process, constructing an integration path centered on cognitive activities and facilitated by technological coordination.

In the construction of the integration path, a dynamic closed loop based on "educational function embedding—teaching structure adjustment—learning effect feedback" is required to promote the deep coupling of technology and teaching logic. Technological means should be highly adaptable to the goals, knowledge types, and cognitive needs of each teaching segment, avoiding the decoupling of form and content. The key to constructing this path lies in the precision of technology selection and the strategic nature of its embedding: different types of technological tools (e.g., interactive systems, data analysis platforms, visualization tools) should be functionally positioned according to the course attributes and learning tasks, ensuring that they are embedded in the most appropriate positions within the teaching chain. This integration path emphasizes the shift from knowledge transmission to knowledge construction, the transition from single-media to multimodal systems, and provides university teachers with a transferable, adjustable, and assessable technology integration solution.

2.2 Collaborative Mechanism between Educational Technology, Teaching Content, and Cognitive Goals

The effective integration of educational technology depends not only on the functional characteristics of the technology itself but also on its degree of coupling with the structure of teaching content and cognitive goals. In university teaching, knowledge content often exhibits high structure, abstraction, and interdisciplinarity, which places higher demands on the expressive ability of technological media and its cognitive guidance function. The core of technological integration lies in how to use technological means to achieve the visualization reconstruction, logical layering, and interactive guidance of teaching content, so that the presentation of knowledge aligns better with students' cognitive processing methods. In this process, educational technology needs to act as a content converter, transforming highly abstract knowledge into concrete, visual, and interactive information forms, thereby enhancing content understandability and intrinsic learning motivation[3].

Teaching goals, as manifestations of cognitive orientation, serve as important benchmarks for determining the effectiveness of technology integration. In teaching designs driven by differentiated cognitive levels and diverse objectives, technology should be capable of adapting to different goal hierarchies, supporting tasks such as memorization, understanding, application, analysis, and evaluation. This requires that technological integration is not merely a media selection process but a realization of cognitive support strategies. For instance, virtual simulation platforms support skill acquisition, visualization maps enhance concept network construction, and learning analytics tools facilitate individualized cognitive path adjustments. The essence of the collaborative mechanism is to establish a triadic linkage system of teaching content—goals—technology, centered around the "cognitive process," so that educational technology not only supports knowledge transmission but also serves as an embedded force to promote cognitive construction and personalized learning.

2.3 Constructing the Structural Model of Educational Technology Integration in University Teaching

The systematic implementation of educational technology integration depends on the construction of a structured model, which should feature clear elements, stable relationships, and adjustable processes, ensuring good operability and adaptability. The core structure of the integration model consists of three subsystems: input system, interaction system, and output system. The input system includes the setting of teaching objectives, content resource selection, and the configuration of technological tools, which serve as the basic components of integration; the interaction system encompasses the multi-party interaction process involving teacher scheduling, student participation, and technological intervention, which acts as the dynamic hub of the integration mechanism; the output system reflects learning outcomes, teaching feedback, and system adjustments, serving as the core module for evaluating integration effects and optimizing feedback pathways. These three subsystems form a closed-loop structure of "front-end planning—mid-course interaction—back-end evaluation," ensuring the logical closure and feedback responsiveness of the integration process.

The construction of this structural model must take into account the complex characteristics of the university teaching environment, fully considering the system variables of multi-disciplinary integration, multi-scenario switching, and multi-agent participation. On the operational level, the model's operation requires intelligent analytics to collect teaching data and track behavior trajectories, enabling dynamic assessment and fine-tuning of technology usage effects. On the strategic level, it should emphasize the dual coordination between teacher leadership and technological support, reinforcing the teacher's role in regulating the integration process and making strategic choices. The ultimate goal of the structural model is not to replace traditional teaching logic but to embed educational technology into the teaching ecosystem through systematic integration, forming a new educational integration paradigm centered on cognitive generation, driven by data, and supported by technology, thereby promoting a shift in university teaching from linear transmission to intelligent collaboration.

3. Interactive Mechanism of Digital Literacy Enhancement and Educational Technology Integration

3.1 Cross-Dimensional Ability Integration and Cognitive Transfer Mechanism

The enhancement of digital literacy is not an isolated process of skill evolution, but a complex system of continuous integration and dynamic transfer between multiple cognitive abilities. In the context of educational technology deeply intervening in the teaching process, university teachers need to form a systematic integration mechanism across dimensions such as tool operation, information analysis, data perception, teaching expression, and technological ethics, transforming fragmented technological abilities into transferable and schedulable cognitive resources. This integration is not only based on the horizontal reconstruction of ability dimensions but also relies on the vertical transfer ability of cognitive mechanisms across different tasks. Teachers need to flexibly activate different cognitive modules in the teaching context, enhancing adaptability to complex technological environments through the interaction of digital operational habits, information evaluation experience, and media expression strategies[4].

The realization of cognitive transfer requires a high level of knowledge reconstruction as a premise. Teachers should develop an understanding of deep teaching structures during the use of technology and transfer this understanding to different subjects, platforms, or task contexts. This transfer is not a simple tool substitution but involves the cross-environment activation and reconstruction of cognitive strategies. For instance, transferring information filtering strategies from text processing to multimodal media or transferring evaluative data interpretation skills to the learning behavior analysis process. As teachers' digital literacy matures, the cognitive transfer path becomes more flexible and precise. This mechanism provides a cognitive foundation for the deep integration of educational technology, enabling teachers to effectively transition from "technology learners" to "technology organizers," thus demonstrating high adaptability and constructiveness across multiple tasks.

3.2 Teacher Role Transformation Path from a Technological Empowerment Perspective

The deep embedding of educational technology is reshaping the role structure of teachers within the teaching system, shifting them from knowledge transmitters to cognitive coordinators, technology planners, and learning guides. This transformation is not just a change of identity labels but an overall reconstruction of functional logic, interaction methods, and professional positioning. From a technological empowerment perspective, teachers are no longer merely users of technology but become selectors of technological resources, controllers of processes, and supporters of meaning generation in the teaching environment. As digital literacy continues to improve, teachers must master the cognitive models and algorithmic mechanisms behind teaching tools to strategically control the teaching process. The realization of role transformation lies in establishing deep collaboration between technological understanding and teaching judgment, enabling teachers to have the ability to coordinate technology from a cognitive perspective[5].

Role transformation is also reflected in the restructuring of teaching task structures and the reconfiguration of teaching power structures. Technological empowerment strengthens the multi-dimensional interaction characteristics of the teaching environment, requiring teachers to shift from being sole discourse controllers to intermediaries collaborating between learning resources and students, thus constructing a multi-directional cognitive ecosystem. The design of teaching strategies shifts from content-centered to process-centered, with teachers needing to construct dynamic teaching paths based on individual student characteristics, learning behavior data, and cognitive feedback. The completion of role reconstruction depends not only on the enhancement of tool usage abilities but also on whether teachers can cognitively understand and apply the fusion of technological logic and educational logic, thereby expanding their professional identity into a dual role of "cognitive guide + technology planner."

3.3 Optimization Strategy for Coupling Mechanism in Teacher Development Ecosystem

In the professional development of university teachers, the enhancement of digital literacy and the integration of educational technology are not two parallel dimensions but constitute a mutually reinforcing, dynamically responsive coupling relationship. To construct an effective coupling mechanism, systematic optimization is needed in three aspects: teaching goal construction, cognitive resource allocation, and technological adaptation logic. The core of the coupling mechanism is to achieve a structural correspondence between the teacher development path and technological capability growth, allowing teachers to naturally embed technological thinking, media logic, and data literacy into their professional growth, thus achieving the simultaneous evolution of abilities and roles. Specifically, the coupling mechanism must break the separation between tool usage and teaching content, organically embedding technological elements into teaching activities, thereby improving teaching quality while enabling the iterative evolution of the teacher capability system[6].

The optimization strategy should emphasize a collaborative evolutionary path from an ecosystem perspective, activating teachers' technological adaptation and innovation abilities at different stages of development by constructing a multi-dimensional support network centered around the teacher. The dynamic matching of teaching content, technological tools, and development platforms should be mediated by the teacher capability model to facilitate the evolution from "external resource-driven" to "internal structure activation." At the same time, attention should be given to the multi-directional feedback mechanism of learning behavior data and teacher development data, constructing a fusion evaluation system based on data support, enabling the visual monitoring and fine-tuning of technological integration effects, cognitive generation paths, and ability growth trajectories. Through mechanism optimization and system reconstruction, the development of university teachers in the digital environment will be more resilient, adaptive, and sustainable, ultimately forming a multi-dimensional, unified fusion ecosystem that integrates technology embedding, cognitive support, and professional construction.

Conclusion

The enhancement of digital literacy for university teachers and the integration of educational technology is a bidirectional, interactive, and dynamically evolving system process. Its essence lies in the collaborative advancement of capability structure reconstruction and teaching logic reorganization. This study defines a multidimensional capability framework for university teachers' digital literacy, clarifying the composite structure of technological operation, information strategy, data reflection, and ethical awareness. Furthermore, it proposes an educational technology integration path centered on cognitive drive and constructs a structured integration model encompassing input, interaction, and feedback. Based on this, the study reveals the proactive impact of digital literacy enhancement on educational technology integration, as well as the logical pathway through which teachers complete role transformation and teaching strategy reorganization based on cognitive transfer. Future research could further introduce multimodal learning analysis methods and intelligent assessment tools, exploring a data-driven teacher development support system and expanding the adaptability of the integration model in interdisciplinary and cross-platform teaching scenarios, thus promoting the continued evolution of university teaching systems toward higher efficiency, greater personalization, and increased sustainability.

Fund Projects

Tarim University Institute of Higher Education

Title: Research on the Cultivation Path of University Teachers' Digital Literacy Driving Digital Education Development—A Case Study of Tarim University (TDGJYB2549)

References

[1] Zhao Meiying, et al. "The Current Status and Strategies for Improving University Teachers' Digital Literacy under the Background of Educational Digital Transformation." China Geological Education 34.01 (2025): 110-115.
[2] Chen Shiyong, and Fan Huxi. "The Practical Dilemmas and Ideal Approaches for Enhancing University Teachers' Digital Literacy under Educational Digital Transformation." Heihe Academic Journal 01 (2025): 37-44.
[3] Zhao Shuang. "Dilemmas and Countermeasures for Enhancing University Teachers' Digital Literacy under the Background of Educational Digital Transformation." China Management Informationization 27.22 (2024): 218-220.
[4] Zhang Yu, Song Yonghe, and Li Muyao. "Research on Enhancing University Teachers' Digital Literacy from the Perspective of Smart Education Ecosystem." Foreign Economic and Trade 05 (2024): 157-160.
[5] Li Bo, and He Xuefei. "Research on the Enhancement of University Teachers' Digital Literacy under the Background of Educational Digitalization." Zhangjiang Science and Technology Review 04 (2024): 152-154.
[6] Yan Chaoyang, and Yang Jie. "The Value, Dilemmas, and Strategies of Enhancing University Teachers' Digital Literacy in the Digital Era." China Adult Education 01 (2024): 43-51.