Research on the Implementation Path of Mathematical Thinking Cultivation in University Mathematics Education

Weifang Yang*

Haikou College of Economics, Haikou, 571132, China
**Corresponding author:ywfwjjwqc@126.com*

Abstract: *Mathematical thinking is one of the core objectives of university mathematics education, playing a crucial role in enhancing students' logical reasoning, innovative thinking, and mathematical application abilities. However, current university mathematics education primarily focuses on knowledge transmission, with issues such as unclear goals, monotonous methods, and outdated evaluation systems hindering the effective development of students' mathematical literacy. This study systematically analyzes the current status and challenges of mathematical thinking cultivation in university mathematics education based on the fundamental types and value of mathematical thinking. By drawing on advanced domestic and international experiences, the study explores optimization strategies for mathematical thinking cultivation. The research findings suggest that constructing a mathematics thinking-oriented curriculum system, optimizing teaching methods, and improving evaluation systems are key to enhancing the quality of mathematical thinking cultivation. In the future, greater emphasis should be placed on interdisciplinary integration, the empowerment of information technology, and personalized learning support to meet the evolving demands of higher education.*

Keywords: *Mathematical Thinking; University Mathematics Education; Teaching Reform; Thinking Cultivation*

Introduction

Mathematical thinking is a core component of university mathematics education and a key factor in solving complex problems and driving innovation. However, current university mathematics education overly emphasizes knowledge transmission while neglecting the cultivation of mathematical thinking. As a result, students lack in-depth thinking training, which affects their logical reasoning and innovative capabilities. The development of mathematical thinking is essential for students' academic growth and professional skills, as it not only facilitates a deeper understanding of mathematical knowledge but also promotes interdisciplinary applications, laying the foundation for future research and technological innovation. Therefore, exploring effective paths for cultivating mathematical thinking is of great significance in improving the quality of university mathematics education and students' overall competencies. Presently, university mathematics education faces challenges such as a single-mode teaching approach, a lack of systematic training, and an inadequate evaluation system, all of which limit the development of students' mathematical thinking. Thus, it is necessary to examine key influencing factors and propose optimization strategies to promote mathematics education reform and enhance cultivation outcomes.

1. Core Elements and Value of Mathematical Thinking Cultivation

1.1 Basic Types of Mathematical Thinking

Mathematical thinking is the core of mathematical learning and application, encompassing various cognitive patterns and reasoning methods. In university mathematics education, mathematical thinking primarily includes logical reasoning, abstract thinking, and model-based thinking. Logical reasoning serves as the foundation of mathematical thinking, emphasizing the application of deductive reasoning, inductive reasoning, and analogical reasoning. Through rigorous logical structures and mathematical proof methods, it ensures the reliability of mathematical conclusions. Abstract thinking is key to the formation of mathematical concepts, requiring learners to extract general mathematical principles from specific problems, enabling mathematical symbolization and conceptualization, and thereby deepening their understanding of the essence of mathematics. Model-based thinking is a crucial way to integrate mathematical thinking with real-world problems. Through mathematical modeling methods, complex real-world problems are transformed into mathematical expressions, allowing for analysis and solution using mathematical approaches, thus bridging theory and practice. These three types of mathematical thinking are interwoven, collectively forming the foundation for mathematical cognition and problem-solving [1].

1.2 Value Analysis of Mathematical Thinking Cultivation

The cultivation of mathematical thinking holds significant value in university mathematics education, not only enhancing students' mathematical learning abilities but also playing a vital role in broader academic disciplines and practical applications. First, developing mathematical thinking improves students' comprehension of mathematical concepts and principles, enabling them to grasp mathematical knowledge more deeply and apply it across different contexts. Second, mathematical thinking is crucial for solving complex problems. Strengthening logical reasoning skills allows students to conduct efficient mathematical analysis under conditions involving multiple variables and constraints, thereby enhancing their problem-solving capabilities. Moreover, mathematical thinking fosters innovation, particularly in scientific research and technological applications, where it provides strong support for algorithm design, system optimization, and data analysis. Furthermore, training in mathematical thinking expands students' cognitive boundaries, equipping them with interdisciplinary thinking skills that facilitate knowledge integration and innovation across fields such as engineering, economics, and computer science. Therefore, mathematical thinking cultivation is not only a key objective of university mathematics education but also directly influences students' overall competencies and societal competitiveness.

1.3 Factors Influencing Mathematical Thinking Cultivation

The development of mathematical thinking is influenced by multiple factors, including curriculum design, teaching methods, evaluation systems, and individual student differences. Curriculum design is a central factor, as traditional mathematics courses often focus on knowledge transmission while neglecting systematic training in mathematical thinking. A well-structured curriculum should incorporate mathematical thinking training, such as open-ended problem discussions, mathematical modeling exercises, and interdisciplinary applications. Teaching methods directly determine the effectiveness of mathematical thinking cultivation. Traditional lecture-based teaching often fails to stimulate students' cognitive engagement, whereas approaches like problem-based learning (PBL), inquiry-based learning (IBL), and interactive teaching can encourage active thinking, fostering students' logical reasoning and abstract conceptualization abilities. The scientific rigor of evaluation systems also impacts the direction of mathematical thinking development. Current assessments in mathematics courses primarily rely on standardized exams, which are insufficient for comprehensively measuring students' mathematical thinking abilities. Therefore, a diversified evaluation system, including formative assessments, mathematical competitions, and project-based evaluations, should be established to encourage the comprehensive development of mathematical thinking. Additionally, individual student differences, such as mathematical cognition levels, thinking habits, and learning motivation, play a crucial role in the cultivation process. Thus, university mathematics education should take these factors into account to build a systematic framework for mathematical thinking cultivation, ultimately improving the overall quality and effectiveness of mathematics education [2].

2. The Current State and Challenges of Mathematical Thinking Cultivation in University Mathematics Education

The cultivation of mathematical thinking is one of the essential goals of university mathematics education. Its impact extends beyond mathematics courses and plays a crucial role in talent development and innovation across various fields such as engineering, economics, and computer science. However, university mathematics education still faces numerous challenges in fostering mathematical thinking, including limitations in curriculum design, outdated teaching models, and inconsistencies in students' cognitive development. To optimize the effectiveness of mathematical thinking cultivation, it is necessary to conduct an in-depth analysis of the current mathematics curriculum, identify key factors restricting the development of mathematical thinking, and draw from advanced domestic and international experiences to build a more scientific and rational mathematical education system.

2.1 Characteristics of the Existing University Mathematics Curriculum

The design of the current university mathematics curriculum primarily revolves around imparting fundamental mathematical knowledge and its applications. Its characteristics are reflected in aspects such as curriculum structure, teaching content, assessment methods, and the objectives of mathematical thinking cultivation.

2.1.1 Clear Curriculum Structure but Strong Disciplinary Boundaries

University mathematics courses typically include core subjects such as calculus, linear algebra, probability and statistics, mathematical modeling, and numerical computation. Additionally, specialized mathematics courses such as mathematical analysis, discrete mathematics, and complex functions are offered based on specific academic needs. While the curriculum is systematically structured, there is a weak connection between different mathematical disciplines. This makes it difficult for students to develop a comprehensive framework of mathematical thinking and establish connections between different mathematical concepts.

2.1.2 Emphasis on Theoretical Knowledge but Lack of Practical Applications

Most university mathematics courses focus on mathematical theorems, formula derivations, and computational methods, emphasizing the completeness and rigor of mathematical knowledge. However, the proportion of mathematical thinking training in actual teaching is relatively low. For instance, calculus courses often emphasize computational rules and proof processes but lack in-depth discussions on mathematical modeling, problem-solving strategies, and methods of mathematical thinking. This makes it challenging for students to effectively apply mathematical knowledge when addressing real-world problems.

2.1.3 Assessment Methods Focused on Standardized Exams, Neglecting Process-Based Evaluation

Current university mathematics course assessments primarily revolve around final exams, which focus on evaluating students' mastery of formulas, calculation techniques, and problem-solving abilities while offering limited assessment of their mathematical thinking skills. Traditional mathematics exams mostly consist of closed-ended questions, lacking comprehensive evaluation of students' innovative thinking, analytical skills, and logical reasoning abilities. As a result, students often adopt learning strategies that prioritize rote memorization and test-taking skills over deep thinking and cognitive training.

2.1.4 Insufficient Emphasis on Mathematical Thinking Cultivation Goals

Although university mathematics education emphasizes the cultivation of mathematical literacy and thinking skills, systematic training in mathematical thinking is often absent from actual teaching. Some courses fail to explicitly define the specific objectives of mathematical thinking development, and the teaching process lacks specialized training for different types of thinking, such as abstract thinking, modeling thinking, and reverse thinking. Consequently, the cultivation of mathematical thinking remains fragmented, making it difficult to establish a well-rounded system for developing mathematical cognitive abilities.

2.2 Major Barriers to Mathematical Thinking Development

The cultivation of mathematical thinking is influenced by multiple factors, including teaching methods, students' cognitive patterns, curriculum design, assessment systems, and teachers' professional competencies. The primary obstacles include the following:

2.2.1 Limitations of Traditional Teaching Models

University mathematics courses still predominantly follow the "teacher-centered lecture – student passive reception" approach, which focuses on the systematic transmission of knowledge but neglects the development of students' independent thinking and exploratory abilities. Many classroom teachings emphasize formula derivations, problem-solving demonstrations, and drill-based exercises while paying little attention to mathematical thought processes, mathematical modeling, and interdisciplinary applications. This hinders students from developing effective mathematical thinking habits.

2.2.2 Limitations in Students' Mathematics Learning Approaches

Under the influence of exam-oriented education, many university students rely on passive learning methods, favoring knowledge reception over independent thinking and inquiry. Additionally, students with weak mathematical foundations often lack confidence in their mathematical abilities, leading to fixed thinking patterns that hinder creative problem-solving when confronted with open-ended questions.

2.2.3 Influence of the Assessment System on Thinking Cultivation

Current mathematics course assessment systems primarily focus on evaluating knowledge mastery and computational skills, with limited emphasis on assessing mathematical thinking abilities. Standardized exams typically assess problem-solving proficiency but fail to measure students' abilities in mathematical modeling, innovative thinking, logical reasoning, and abstraction. The lack of a scientific and comprehensive assessment mechanism results in ineffective feedback and motivation for the development of mathematical thinking.

2.2.4 Insufficient Awareness and Teaching Abilities in Mathematical Thinking Cultivation Among Educators

The cultivation of mathematical thinking requires teachers to guide students in deep reflection and inquiry. However, many educators, influenced by traditional teaching models, primarily focus on textbook-based knowledge delivery and fail to integrate mathematical thinking training techniques, such as problem-based inquiry, case analysis, and mathematical modeling. Furthermore, because mathematical thinking development often involves interdisciplinary knowledge, some teachers lack experience in applying mathematics in real-world contexts, making it challenging to effectively implement mathematical thinking training in actual teaching.

2.3 Lessons from Domestic and International Mathematical Thinking Cultivation Practices

In recent years, significant research and practical exploration have been conducted in mathematical thinking cultivation worldwide. Some countries have accumulated valuable experiences in mathematics education reform, offering insights that can be applied to the improvement of mathematics education in Chinese universities.

2.3.1 Inquiry-Based Mathematics Teaching in the United States

U.S. universities widely adopt Inquiry-Based Learning (IBL), encouraging students to develop mathematical thinking through independent inquiry, collaborative learning, and practical applications. For example, mathematics courses at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) and Stanford University emphasize mathematical modeling and computational experiments, enabling students to cultivate mathematical thinking through real-world problem-solving. Additionally, U.S. universities extensively use mathematical competitions, mathematics labs, and mathematical modeling activities to foster students' mathematical cognitive development [3].

2.3.2 Mathematical Modeling Education in Europe

European universities, particularly in Germany and the Netherlands, emphasize the connection between mathematics and real-world applications, widely incorporating mathematical modeling as a key approach to mathematical thinking cultivation. For instance, German universities encourage students to apply mathematical methods to solve real-world problems in engineering, economics, and computer science, thereby enhancing their mathematical application skills and innovative thinking.

2.3.3 Multi-Level Mathematical Thinking Training in Singapore

Singaporean mathematics education places a strong emphasis on developing mathematical thinking through multi-tiered training methods. For example, the National University of Singapore integrates problem-solving skills, logical reasoning, and abstract thinking training into its mathematics curriculum. It also employs Project-Based Learning (PBL) to engage students in in-depth exploration of mathematical problems.

2.3.4 Mathematical Thinking Reform Initiatives in Chinese Universities

In recent years, some Chinese universities have actively explored mathematical thinking cultivation. For example, Peking University and Tsinghua University have introduced mathematical modeling and computer-assisted mathematics experiments into their curricula to enhance students' mathematical thinking abilities. Additionally, several universities have implemented flipped classrooms, case-based teaching, and mathematics competition training to increase interactivity and practical engagement in mathematics courses, ultimately improving students' mathematical cognitive skills.

3. Strategies for Enhancing Mathematical Thinking Development

The development of mathematical thinking is crucial to the quality of university mathematics education. It not only enhances students' logical thinking and problem-solving abilities but also provides essential mathematical tools for interdisciplinary applications. To effectively cultivate mathematical thinking, comprehensive optimization and reform must be undertaken in the areas of curriculum design, teaching methods, and assessment systems.

3.1 Optimization of the Curriculum System

The mathematics curriculum system serves as the foundation for cultivating mathematical thinking, making its optimization a top priority for improving the quality of mathematical thinking development. The current curriculum system often focuses excessively on the transmission of mathematical knowledge while neglecting the systematic and integrative training of mathematical thinking. Therefore, optimizing the curriculum system should focus on the following aspects:

First, course content should emphasize the cultivation of mathematical ideas and methods rather than merely delivering mathematical formulas and theorems. For example, when teaching advanced mathematics, it is essential not only to focus on theorem proofs but also to guide students in understanding the underlying mathematical concepts, such as inductive reasoning, the concept of limits, and function continuity.

Additionally, interdisciplinary integration of mathematics courses should be promoted, particularly in connection with fields such as engineering, computer science, and economics, to closely link mathematical thinking with real-world applications. In curriculum design, more application-oriented courses, such as mathematical modeling and data analysis, should be incorporated to strengthen students' ability to apply mathematical tools and methods to real-world problems, thereby fostering comprehensive thinking and innovation skills [4].

3.2 Reform of Teaching Methods

Teaching methods play a crucial role in shaping students' mathematical thinking. The traditional teacher-centered lecture-based approach is relatively limited in stimulating students' cognitive potential. Therefore, teaching method reform should focus on encouraging active learning and thinking.

First, inquiry-based learning should be promoted, placing students at the center of the learning process and encouraging them to ask questions, propose problems, and explore solutions. For example, when studying calculus, students could design their own experiments to discover the relationship between limits and derivatives, thereby deepening their conceptual understanding through practice.

Furthermore, project-based learning is another effective teaching method. By engaging students in real-world project tasks, they can develop their mathematical thinking while solving practical problems. This approach not only enables students to acquire mathematical knowledge but also teaches them how to use mathematical tools to analyze and address complex challenges [5].

Lastly, the integration of modern information technology can significantly enrich teaching methodologies. The use of mathematical software for data visualization and model simulation not only enhances students' understanding of mathematical theories but also cultivates their practical skills and innovative thinking.

3.3 Improvement of the Assessment System

The assessment system plays a crucial role in the cultivation of mathematical thinking. However, traditional exam-based evaluation methods primarily emphasize knowledge retention and problem-solving skills, often failing to comprehensively assess mathematical thinking and innovation capabilities. Therefore, a more comprehensive and diversified assessment system should be established.

First, assessment should shift from knowledge-based testing to a diversified evaluation approach, incorporating formative assessment, project-based evaluation, and competency-oriented assessment. For example, students' participation in mathematical modeling, extracurricular research projects, and mathematics experiments can be used to evaluate their innovative thinking and practical application abilities.

Second, students' progress in mathematical thinking should be systematically recorded. Establishing a "Mathematical Thinking Development Portfolio" can help track students' cognitive growth throughout their coursework, offering valuable insights for targeted learning guidance.

Additionally, international assessment standards can provide valuable references for reforming the evaluation system in China. For example, universities in the United States and Europe have implemented competency-based assessment frameworks that emphasize the development of mathematical thinking and practical application skills. These practices could be adapted and incorporated into China's educational system [6].

By establishing a scientific and comprehensive assessment system, the development of mathematical thinking can be more effectively promoted, while also providing valuable feedback for teachers to improve teaching methods and curriculum design.

Conclusion

This study explores the implementation pathways for cultivating mathematical thinking in university mathematics education, analyzing its core elements and significance, identifying current challenges, and proposing optimization strategies. The findings indicate that the cultivation of mathematical thinking should focus on optimizing the curriculum system, reforming teaching methods, and improving the assessment system to enhance students' mathematical thinking abilities and promote high-quality mathematics education development. Although this study integrates domestic and international experiences, further validation is required in practical applications. Given the differences in curriculum structures across institutions and disciplines, strategies should be adapted to local conditions.

In the future, the cultivation of mathematical thinking should strengthen interdisciplinary integration, incorporating fields such as computer science and artificial intelligence. Technologies like artificial intelligence and big data should be utilized to build intelligent learning environments that enhance personalization and efficiency. Additionally, personalized learning support should be emphasized by providing differentiated teaching strategies. Exploring scientifically sound assessment methods and establishing a multidimensional evaluation system will also be essential.

References

[1] Li Na, Wu Shengqi, Zhang Ying, et al. A Review of the Research on the Transition from Secondary to University Mathematics Education from an International Perspective [J]. Journal of Mathematics Education, 2025, 34(01): 6-12.

[2] Li Tao. Investigation and Analysis of Learning Difficulties in University Mathematics Courses [J]. China Educational Technology Equipment, 2024, (10): 46-48+54.

[3] Liu Peng, Chen Jianxin. Research on Problem-Solving with a Focus on the Depth of Mathematical Thinking [J]. Monthly Journal of Secondary School Mathematics, 2023, (05): 73-76.

[4] Tang Muwen, Wang Zixu, Cao Yiming. A Review of Research on Learning Trajectories in Mathematics Education [J]. Shanghai Educational Research, 2025, (01): 34-40+72.

[5] Kang Ting, Xu Ganggang, Zhang Ning, et al. Reform and Practice of Case-Based Teaching in the "Advanced Mathematics" Course [J]. Science & Technology Trends, 2025, (01): 111-113.

[6] Sun Jiahui, Li Na. Research on Mathematics Teaching Reform under Digital Information Application and Industry-Education Integration [J]. Information & Computer, 2024, 36(23): 254-256.