Research on the Emergency Support System for Critical Infrastructure from the Perspective of Resilient Cities

Jianchun Li*

The 53rd Research Institute of China Electronics Technology Group Corporation, Tianjin, 30000, China

**Corresponding author: 18822626886@163.com*

Abstract: *Confronted with the increasingly complex challenges of systemic risks during urbanization, traditional emergency management models have proven inadequate in addressing multiple disturbances and impacts. Based on the theory of resilient cities, this study analyzes the nonlinear coupling mechanisms across multiple dimensions between resilient cities and critical infrastructure. It constructs an emergency support system model that integrates physical assets, organizational structures, information data, and operational protocols. This model features a closed-loop "monitoring-diagnosis-response" structure and a multi-mode switching mechanism. Furthermore, the study proposes phased resilience enhancement pathways, including foundational consolidation, system optimization, and intelligent evolution. By establishing a collaborative governance framework, it provides a systematic solution to strengthen the continuous service delivery and adaptive transformation capabilities of critical infrastructure in uncertain environments.*

Keywords: *Resilient Cities; Critical Infrastructure; Emergency Support System; Coupling Mechanisms; System Model; Enhancement Pathways*

Introduction

Modern cities, as complex giant systems, rely heavily on the stable collaboration of critical infrastructure networks such as energy, water supply, transportation, and communications. However, due to their inherent characteristics of networking, interdependence, and criticality, these infrastructure systems are highly susceptible to cascading failures and functional paralysis when subjected to disturbances such as natural disasters and technological accidents, thereby posing serious threats to urban economic security and public safety. The current safeguard models, which predominantly emphasize passive response and rigid protection, have revealed numerous shortcomings in the face of increasingly frequent and unpredictable extreme events, urgently necessitating a transition toward paradigms with greater foresight, adaptability, and learning capacity. The theory of resilient cities provides a novel perspective and analytical framework for this transition, emphasizing the holistic capacity of systems to withstand shocks, adapt to changes, and achieve transformation. Therefore, from the perspective of resilient cities, conducting in-depth research on the internal mechanisms of the emergency support system for critical infrastructure, constructing systematic models, and exploring pathways for resilience enhancement are not only academic necessities for enriching the theoretical connotation of urban resilience but also urgent requirements for strengthening urban risk resistance and ensuring sustainable social development.

1. Research on the Coupling Mechanism Between Resilient Cities and Critical Infrastructure

1.1 Theoretical Framework of Resilient Cities and Their Core Attributes

The theory of resilient cities originates from the concept of complex adaptive systems, focusing on the capacity of urban systems to cope with internal and external disturbances while maintaining critical functions. This theoretical framework transcends traditional concepts of disaster prevention and mitigation by viewing the city as a dynamically evolving organism. Its theoretical construction primarily unfolds across three dimensions: engineering resilience, ecological resilience, and evolutionary resilience, emphasizing the system's holistic response in withstanding shocks, adapting to changes, and undergoing transformational learning. Engineering resilience concerns the speed and efficiency with which a system returns to a state of equilibrium. Ecological resilience focuses on the magnitude of disturbance a system can absorb before undergoing a state shift. Evolutionary resilience emphasizes the long-term capacity of a system to achieve structural and functional optimization through self-organization and innovation [1].

The core attributes of resilient cities are manifested in four aspects: robustness, redundancy, resourcefulness, and adaptability. Robustness refers to the inherent strength of urban components to maintain structural integrity and functional stability when subjected to disturbances. Redundancy describes the presence of multiple backups and functionally overlapping modules within a system, enabling the maintenance of core services through alternative pathways when some components fail. Resourcefulness emphasizes the accessibility and deployability of critical elements such as materials, information, and energy during emergency states. Adaptability is reflected in the dynamic process through which a system optimizes its organizational structure and operational modes via monitoring, feedback, learning, and adjustment. These attributes collectively form the underlying logic for cities to cope with uncertain risks, providing a theoretical foundation for understanding the emergency support mechanisms of critical infrastructure.

1.2 Intrinsic Characteristics and Interdependencies of Critical Infrastructure Systems

Critical infrastructure systems possess inherent characteristics such as networking, coupling, and criticality. The networking characteristic manifests as infrastructure forming complex topological structures through physical connections, information exchange, and functional dependencies, with their node importance distribution following an uneven pattern. Coupling is reflected in two modes of interaction between systems: tight coupling and loose coupling. For instance, energy networks and communication networks exhibit bidirectional dependencies in energy flow and information flow, while transportation networks and water supply networks are indirectly linked through geographical space and control functions. Criticality refers to the phase transition that occurs when accumulated disturbances reach a threshold, leading to an abrupt decline in system functionality. This nonlinear response characteristic constitutes a core challenge for emergency management.

Infrastructure interdependencies can be categorized into three levels: physical dependencies, geographical dependencies, and logical dependencies. Physical dependencies originate from the transmission of energy and materials through physical connections, such as power supply interruptions directly causing water supply system failures. Geographical dependencies arise from spatial proximity effects, where localized flooding disasters may simultaneously damage transportation hubs and communication base stations in the same area. Logical dependencies are realized through information flow and control commands, such as the stable operation of financial systems relying on the continuous services of data centers. These interdependencies can trigger cascading failures and chain reactions in disaster scenarios, with propagation paths characterized by multidirectionality and uncertainty, ultimately forming complex risk networks that span multiple sectors.

1.3 Multidimensional Interaction Analysis of Coupling Mechanisms

The coupling mechanism between resilient cities and critical infrastructure operates through multi-level interactions across physical, functional, and spatiotemporal dimensions. The physical dimension coupling manifests in the structural alignment between urban spatial layouts and infrastructure networks, where the distribution density of building clusters and the connectivity of transportation corridors directly determine the accessibility of emergency response resources. The functional dimension coupling reflects the synergy between urban governance systems and infrastructure operations, with the integration level of monitoring and early warning mechanisms with dispatch and control strategies influencing the response efficiency to unexpected events. The spatiotemporal dimension coupling is demonstrated by the dynamic correspondence between disturbance propagation processes and urban recovery rhythms, requiring strategic coordination between the spatial distribution characteristics of infrastructure damage and the temporal sequence of urban functional reconstruction [2].

This coupling generates two types of effects: positive reinforcement and negative constraints. Positive reinforcement is evidenced when redundant infrastructure design enhances urban robustness, and urban learning capacity improves infrastructure adaptation levels, forming a virtuous cycle of enhancement. Negative constraints originate from resource competition and goal conflicts, such as the allocation dilemma of limited supplies between livelihood security and production restoration during post-disaster reconstruction, or strategic choices between short-term safety protection and long-term development needs. The evolutionary trajectory of the coupled system depends on the dynamic balance of multiple forces. Its intrinsic feedback mechanisms may either amplify minor disturbances into systemic risks, or contain the spread of local failures through functional compensation. This dual possibility constitutes a core consideration in the design of emergency support systems.

2. Construction of a Resilience-Based Emergency Support System Model for Critical Infrastructure

2.1 Definition of Basic Elements and Functions of the Emergency Support System

The fundamental elements of the critical infrastructure emergency support system constitute a multi-dimensional organic whole. The physical assets element includes not only traditional physical components and backup facilities but also extends to rapidly deployable mobile emergency units and distributed microgrids, representing new types of support resources. These assets achieve deep integration between physical entities and digital spaces through intelligent sensing devices and automatic control devices, forming smart infrastructure clusters capable of self-perception and autonomous decision-making. The organizational structures element integrates operational entities, professional technical institutions, and community organizations horizontally, while connecting strategic decision-making, tactical command, and field execution levels vertically, creating a networked governance framework that combines flexibility and resilience. The information data element encompasses the entire chain of information products, from raw operational parameters to processed decision-making knowledge, with its value lying in transforming information superiority into decision-making advantage through data mining and knowledge discovery. The operational protocols element manifests as systematic work guidelines and dynamically optimized emergency response plans, providing standardized interfaces and adaptive action frameworks for cross-sector collaboration.

The system's functional operation exhibits characteristics of multi-phase coupling and multi-level nesting. The risk mitigation function facilitates a shift from passive protection to active defense by incorporating predictive maintenance concepts and resilience baseline assessment methods, with its technical substance including dynamic vulnerability diagnosis of critical nodes and resilient allocation of protective resources. The core of the emergency response function lies in establishing capability combinations for rapid activation and intelligent dispatch, maintaining minimum functional sets of core services during system impacts through dynamic reconstruction of service paths and precise deployment of backup capacity. The function recovery function dedicates itself to building a virtuous cycle of orderly reconstruction and capability leapfording, employing resource optimization models based on critical path analysis and multi-objective decision-making methods to ensure repair work meets both timeliness requirements and strategic directions for long-term resilience enhancement. These functions, connected through orderly information flows and material flows, form a full-cycle support chain covering pre-event, during-event, and post-event phases [3].

2.2 Theoretical Foundation and Design Principles of the Resilience-Oriented Model

The theoretical construction of the resilience-oriented model is founded upon a knowledge system integrating multiple disciplines. Complex systems theory provides the methodological basis for analyzing the cascading effects and emergent behaviors of infrastructure networks, particularly through complex network analysis tools that reveal the distribution patterns of critical nodes and vulnerable links. Reliability engineering has evolved from fault tree analysis to system resilience assessment, introducing new engineering design concepts such as functional substitution and graceful degradation, enabling systems to maintain basic service capabilities even when components fail. Adaptive management theory contributes a decision-making framework incorporating dynamic gaming and learning feedback, emphasizing the spiral improvement of governance capabilities through continuous monitoring and strategy adjustment in uncertain environments. These theories collectively shape the core concept of the resilience model: the emergency support system must possess evolutionary capabilities to learn from endured shocks and transform through adaptation to changes.

The system of model design principles exhibits inherent logical coherence and practical guidance value. The principle of foresight incorporates potential future risks and changing trends into current design considerations through scenario planning and foresight analysis techniques, with its technical implementation relying on deep learning algorithms for the early identification and warning of multidimensional risk signals. The principle of integration requires breaking down information silos and functional barriers in traditional systems engineering, establishing unified data standards and system interfaces to achieve cross-infrastructure situation sharing and collaborative control. This integration is manifested not only at the technical level but also through the deep fusion of organizational processes and decision-making mechanisms. The principle of evolution emphasizes that the model should possess an internal driving force for continuous optimization. By establishing closed-loop learning and feedback mechanisms, the experiential knowledge gained during emergency responses is transformed into automatic calibration of model parameters and dynamic updates of the strategy library, ensuring the model continuously self-improves alongside changes in the system environment. These principles collectively establish the model's dynamic balancing mechanism between stability and adaptability.

2.3 Integrated Model Structure and Its Operational Mechanism

The structural design of the integrated model adopts an architectural philosophy of hierarchical decoupling and modular integration. The perception layer consists of a multi-source heterogeneous network of monitoring devices, including intelligent sensors deployed at critical nodes, remote sensing monitoring systems, and social sensing channels, establishing round-the-clock monitoring capabilities covering both physical and cyberspace. The analysis layer constructs a hybrid intelligent analysis engine that integrates mechanistic models with data-driven algorithms. It utilizes an infrastructure digital twin system to achieve real-time interaction and bidirectional mapping between physical entities and virtual models, supporting the simulation of complex disturbance scenarios and the pre-evaluation of decision-making plans [4]. The execution layer forms a closed-loop control chain of "decision-control-execution," translating the decision outputs from the analysis layer into specific dispatch commands and operational instructions. Through adaptive resource allocation algorithms and multi-agent collaborative control protocols, it achieves scientific guidance and precise execution of emergency support actions.

The model's operational mechanism embodies features of seamless multi-mode switching and parallel multi-process handling. Under normal operation mode, the system continuously conducts baseline monitoring and trend analysis of infrastructure operational status, maintaining the system within an optimal operational range through preventive maintenance and incremental optimization, while simultaneously updating emergency plans and decision rule libraries based on historical data and scenario simulations. The activation of the emergency operation mode is based on an intelligent judgment mechanism triggered by multiple thresholds; once monitoring parameters exceed preset thresholds, the system immediately initiates the corresponding emergency response level, achieving rapid mobilization of support resources and smooth transition of service modes. Core operational mechanisms include: a dynamic resource planning mechanism based on deep reinforcement learning, capable of making near-optimal resource allocation decisions in uncertain environments; a cross-domain information fusion and sharing mechanism, ensuring interoperability and consistency of multi-source information through unified data standards and exchange protocols; and a distributed intelligent collaboration mechanism, enabling subsystems to maintain autonomous decision-making authority while achieving consistency in overall objectives. The synergistic effect of these mechanisms endows the model with the adaptability and robustness to handle complex situations.

3. Resilience Enhancement Pathways for Critical Infrastructure Emergency Support Systems

3.1 Strategic Objectives and Core Principles for Resilience Enhancement

The resilience enhancement of critical infrastructure emergency support systems aims to construct a complex system capable of anticipating disruptions, absorbing shocks, adapting to changes, and achieving continuous learning. Its strategic objectives focus on three levels: at the foundational level, ensuring the continuity and robustness of core system functions under disturbances to prevent catastrophic interruptions of critical services; at the evolutionary level, enhancing the system's adaptive capacity to reconfigure resources and reconstruct service pathways to cope with unknown risks beyond design benchmarks; at the long-term level, fostering the system's self-evolution and learning transformation capabilities, enabling it to draw lessons from past disturbances and achieve structural optimization and capability iteration.

Achieving these objectives requires adherence to three core principles: systemic, dynamic, and equilibrium. The systemic principle demands treating all types of infrastructure as interconnected organic wholes, where resilience enhancement of any single component must consider its impact on the overall system behavior. The dynamic principle emphasizes that resilience building is a continuous process, requiring periodic adjustments and upgrades based on the evolution of risk landscapes and technological developments. The equilibrium principle focuses on the balance between resource investment and resilience benefits, seeking strategic balance across different dimensions such as robustness, redundancy, and adaptability to avoid system rigidity or resource waste caused by over-strengthening any single characteristic.

3.2 Phased Implementation Strategy for Enhancement Pathways

The implementation pathway for resilience enhancement adopts a phased spiral progression strategy, achieving capability leapfrogging through iterative cycles. The initial phase focuses on foundational consolidation and vulnerability reduction, with its core task being a comprehensive resilience baseline assessment of existing infrastructure networks to identify critical vulnerable nodes and potential single points of failure. For the identified weak links, targeted reinforcement projects and redundant backup construction are implemented, emphasizing the enhancement of the system's capacity to cope with high-frequency, low-intensity disturbances, thereby establishing the fundamental groundwork for resilience improvement [5].

The intermediate phase is dedicated to system optimization and synergistic capacity building, promoting a shift from independent protection to networked collaboration. This phase requires breaking down information barriers between infrastructures and establishing a unified situational awareness and information sharing platform to achieve transparency in cross-system operational status. Concurrently, it involves developing scenario-based joint simulation and decision-making mechanisms, testing collaborative response processes by simulating extreme disturbance events, and optimizing resource allocation and functional complementarity strategies, thereby significantly strengthening the system's collective resilience against low-frequency, high-impact shocks.

The advanced phase concentrates on intelligent evolution and the cultivation of adaptive ecosystems, emphasizing the development of system autonomy, intelligence, and forward-looking predictive capabilities. It deeply integrates advanced sensing, digital twin, and artificial intelligence technologies to construct smart infrastructure management systems capable of predictive maintenance and autonomous optimization. It promotes establishing institutionalized learning-feedback loops, systematically transforming experiential knowledge gained from emergency responses into design standards and operational protocols, ultimately forming an adaptive governance structure capable of continuous self-renewal in response to environmental changes.

3.3 Support Mechanisms and Collaborative Governance Framework

The sustainability of resilience enhancement relies on robust support mechanisms. The technical support mechanism encompasses the research, development, and application of resilience technologies, including new building materials, distributed energy systems, and fault self-healing control algorithms, providing underlying technical empowerment for the physical and functional resilience of infrastructure. The intellectual support mechanism materializes through the development of professional talent pipelines and knowledge management systems, accumulating specialized knowledge and skills for dealing with complex crises via continuous education, training, and case study research. The resource support mechanism requires the establishment of stable investment guarantees and flexible resource allocation channels, ensuring that resilience construction projects receive necessary material and financial support [6].

The collaborative governance framework constructs a systematic action network involving multiple stakeholders. This framework, based on consensual rules and shared values, clearly defines the roles, responsibility boundaries, and collaboration methods for various operating entities, community units, and professional technical institutions within the emergency support system. By establishing permanent coordination bodies and regular consultation platforms, it promotes information exchange, resource complementarity, and action synchronization. The framework incorporates an incentive compatibility design, utilizing soft constraints such as reputation mechanisms and industry standards to guide various actors to spontaneously adopt actions that align with the overall resilience interests of the system, ultimately forming a resilience-building community with aligned objectives and coordinated actions.

Conclusion

This study systematically explores the construction and optimization of emergency support systems for critical infrastructure within the theoretical framework of resilient cities. The research reveals the multidimensional and nonlinear coupling mechanisms between resilient cities and critical infrastructure, clarifying both the opportunities and challenges this coupling relationship presents for the design of emergency support systems. Based on this, the study constructs an integrated model that incorporates multiple elements and possesses capabilities for dynamic response and learning evolution, providing a theoretical prototype for shifting emergency support from static plan management to dynamic intelligent decision-making. Furthermore, the study proposes a comprehensive resilience enhancement pathway system composed of strategic objectives, core principles, phased implementation strategies, and support mechanisms, offering systematic guidance for practical operations. Future research could focus on deeper integration of digital twin technology into the support system model to achieve higher-precision simulation and decision support. Simultaneously, there is a need to further explore the graceful degradation principles and rapid reconfiguration strategies for infrastructure systems under extreme scenarios, and to pay attention to the new dependencies and potential vulnerabilities introduced by emerging technology applications, thereby continuously enhancing the intelligent resilience of the emergency support system in highly uncertain environments.

References

[1] Hong, Z. F. "Theoretical Framework and Implementation Pathways for Green Infrastructure Construction from the Perspective of Urban Resilience." Architecture & Culture, no. 1 (2025): 79-81.

[2] Ma, Y. H. Research on Safety Resilience Assessment of Urban Infrastructure from the Perspective of Disaster Prevention and Mitigation. MA thesis, Xihua University, 2024.

[3] Li, N. Research on Design Strategies for Public Health Emergency Spaces in Resilient Communities. MA thesis, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, 2024.

[4] Luo, H., Liu, X. Y., and Chen, Q. P. "Research on the Development Strategy of Grassroots Fire Safety Governance from the Perspective of Resilient Cities." In Proceedings of the 2023 China Fire Protection Association Science and Technology Annual Conference – Third Prize, edited by Tianjin Fire Research Institute, Ministry of Emergency Management, 42-46, 2023.

[5] Jia, Y. X., et al. "Research on the Construction of Disaster Prevention and Mitigation Infrastructure in Quanzhou City from the Perspective of 'Resilient City' Development." Strait Science, no. 10 (2023): 101-104.

[6] Feng, J. C. Research on Public Health Emergency Support Systems in Large Cities. MA thesis, Shanghai Ocean University, 2022.